The list-packing number (extended abstract for ICGT2022)

Stijn Cambie — Radboud University, Netherlands <u>Wouter Cames van Batenburg</u> — TU Delft, Netherlands <u>Ewan Davies</u> — CU Boulder, USA Ross J. Kang — Radboud University, Netherlands

## Abstract

List-colouring is an influential and classic topic in graph theory. We initiate the study of a natural strengthening of this problem, where instead of one list-colouring, we seek many in parallel. Given the assignment of a list L(v) of k colours to each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , we study the existence of k pairwise-disjoint proper colourings of G using colours from these lists. We refer to this as a *list-packing* and we define the *list-packing number*  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G)$  as the smallest k for which every list-assignment of G admits a list-packing. We prove several results that (asymptotically) match the best-known bounds for the list chromatic number, among which:  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G) \leq n$  with equality if and only if G is the complete graph on n vertices,  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G) \leq (1 + o(1)) \log_2(n)$  if G is bipartite on n vertices, and  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G) \leq (1 + o(1))\Delta/\log(\Delta)$  if G is bipartite with maximum degree  $\Delta$ . We conjecture that the last statement also holds for triangle-free graphs. Our main open question is whether  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G)$  can be bounded by a constant times the list chromatic number.

## 1 Introduction

We are interested in a strengthening of *list-colouring* [8], where we seek to find not just one list-colouring, but many disjoint list-colourings that moreover induce a partition of each list. We ask how large the lists need to be to guarantee such a partition. It was Alon, Fellows and Hare [4] who first hinted at this direction, right at the end of their paper, but ours [7] is the first work to embrace this suggestion.

Given a graph G, a list-assignment L of G is a mapping  $L: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$  that assigns a list L(v) of available colours to each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . Given a positive integer k, a k-list-assignment is a list-assignment for which each list has cardinality k. A proper L-colouring is a colouring  $c: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$  such that every  $v \in V(G)$  receives a colour c(v) from its list L(v), and adjacent vertices receive distinct colours. The list chromatic number  $\chi_{\ell}(G)$  is the least k such that G admits a proper L-colouring for every k-list-assignment L of G. By definition,  $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}(G)$  for every graph G. On the other hand,  $\chi_{\ell}(G)$  cannot be upper bounded by a function of  $\chi(G)$  alone.

We formulate the question above concretely within the framework of list-colouring. Given a list-assignment L of G, an L-packing of G of size k is a collection of k mutually disjoint L-colourings  $c_1, \ldots, c_k$  of G, that is,  $c_i(v) \neq c_j(v)$  for any  $i \neq j$  and any  $v \in V(G)$ . We say that an L-packing is proper if each of the disjoint L-colourings is proper. We define the list (chromatic) packing number  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G)$  of G as the least k such that G admits a proper L-packing of size k for any k-list-assignment L of G. Note that  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G)$  is necessarily at least  $\chi_{\ell}(G)$ .

Once the lists of a graph are of size much larger than  $\chi_{\ell}(G)$ , it is clear that there also must exist many disjoint list-colourings: just iteratively extract list-colourings until the lists have size less than  $\chi_{\ell}(G)$ . However, this greedy procedure leaves small remainder lists from which one may not be able to extract any further list-colouring, thus preventing the formation of a partition. Therefore the reader may not find it obvious that  $\chi_{\ell}^{\star}$  is actually well-defined (i.e. finite) for every graph. It turns out that it is. This follows for instance from our following result, which is immediate in the context of list-colouring, but much less so for list-packing.

**Theorem 1.**  $\chi_{\ell}^{\star}(G) \leq n$  for any graph G on n vertices. Equality holds if and only if G is  $K_n$ , the complete graph on n vertices.

A natural potential strengthening of Theorem 1 remains wide open: in our full paper [7], we also study correspondence colouring, which in turn is a well-studied generalization of list-colouring that allows for forbidding custom pairs of colours along each pair of adjacent vertices. Seeking a partition into correspondence colourings analogously leads to the definition of the correspondence packing number  $\chi_c^*(G)$  of a graph G. One always has  $\chi_\ell^*(G) \leq \chi_c^*(G)$ . An elegant conjecture going back to constructions of Catlin (see [11]), originally formulated in terms of disjoint independent transversals in sparse *n*-partite graphs, can be reformulated as:  $\chi_c^*(G) \leq 2\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$  for every *n*-vertex graph G. It is sufficient to prove this for  $K_n$ . However, the best known upper bound is 1.78*n*, for *n* large enough, due to Yuster [11].

Usually, one considers the easy bound  $\chi_{\ell}(G) \leq n$  as a corollary of a more refined statement about greedy colouring. A graph is *d*-degenerate if there is an ordering of its vertices such that each vertex has at most *d* neighbours preceding it in the order. By colouring the vertices greedily along this order, it is easy to see that  $\chi_{\ell}(G) \leq d+1$  for every *d*-degenerate graph *G*. Leveraging Hall's theorem and iterative constructions, we demonstrate that this bound only partially survives in the packing context.

**Theorem 2.** For any d-degenerate graph G,

$$\chi_{\ell}^{\star}(G) \le \chi_{c}^{\star}(G) \le 2d.$$

Conversely, for every integer  $d \ge 2$ , there exists a d-degenerate graph G with  $\chi_c^*(G) = 2d$  and  $\chi_\ell^*(G) \ge d+2$ .

While this completely settles the behaviour of  $\chi_c^{\star}(G)$  with respect to degeneracy, we still do not know the right answer for  $\chi_{\ell}^{\star}(G)$ . Turning to the maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$  of G, in a follow-up paper (work in progress), we explore the possibility that  $\chi_c^{\star}(G) \leq 2 \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2} \right\rceil$  and we prove this for  $\Delta(G) \leq 4$ . In a slightly different direction (in the current paper) we obtain:

**Theorem 3.**  $\chi_{\ell}^{\star}(G) \leq 1 + \Delta(G) + \chi_{\ell}(G)$  and  $\chi_{c}^{\star}(G) \leq 1 + \Delta(G) + \chi_{c}(G)$ , for any graph G.

Another important way to bound list chromatic number is to estimate it in terms of the chromatic number. For bipartite graphs G on n vertices, Erdős, Rubin and Taylor [8] already showed that  $\chi_{\ell}(G) \leq \log_2(n) + 1$ , and this is optimal up to a factor 1 + o(1) as  $n \to \infty$ . More generally, by a result of Alon [1],  $\chi_{\ell}(G)$  is within a factor  $\log n$  of  $\chi(G)$  for every graph G on n vertices. Through an analysis of random binary matrices, we show that asymptotically these bounds also hold in the list-packing setting.

**Theorem 4.** For graphs G on n vertices we have, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\chi_{\ell}^{\star}(G) \leq \begin{cases} (1+o(1))\log_2 n & \text{if } G \text{ bipartite,} \\ (1+o(1))\chi_f(G)\log n & \text{if } \chi_f(G) \text{ uniformly bounded as } n \to \infty, \\ (5+o(1))\chi_f(G)\log n & \text{in general.} \end{cases}$$

Here we present a further strengthening in terms of the fractional chromatic number  $\chi_f(G)$ of G, which is the linear program relaxation of the chromatic number and as such a lower bound on  $\chi(G)$ . In the case that  $\chi_f(G)$  is bounded, Theorem 4 is asymptotically sharp for the complete multipartite graphs (see [8]). For each graph G, one can write  $\chi_f(G) = \frac{a}{b}$  for some integers a, b. Our proof proceeds by considering many independent random [a]-colourings of the union of the lists, which we use (via some optimal fractional colouring of G) to associate a random binary matrix with each vertex, in such a way that there is a list-packing if none of these matrices has permanent 0. We then find good estimates for the probability that a random binary matrix has permanent 0:

**Theorem 5.** Let  $0 \le p < 1$  be a real number. Let A be a random  $k \times k$ -matrix with negatively correlated Bernoulli(1-p) distributed entries. Then

Permanent(A) = 0 with probability  $2kp^k(1 + o(1))$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

Returning to list-colouring bipartite graphs, Alon and Krivelevich [5] conjectured something more refined in terms of maximum degree, in particular, that for some C > 0 we have  $\chi_{\ell}(G) \leq C \log \Delta(G)$  for any bipartite G. Since its formulation there has been surprisingly little progress on this essential problem. Already then, it was known that for some  $C > 0 \chi_{\ell}(G) \leq C\Delta/\log \Delta(G)$  for any bipartite G, a statement which is a corollary of the seminal result of Johansson for triangle-free graphs [9]. Recent related efforts have only affected the asymptotic leading constant C, bringing it down to 1; see [10, 3]. Our work matches these recent efforts, but for a much stronger structural parameter.

**Theorem 6.**  $\chi_{\ell}^{\star}(G) \leq (1 + o(1))\Delta / \log \Delta$  for any bipartite graph G with  $\Delta(G) \leq \Delta$ , as  $\Delta \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 7.**  $\chi_c^{\star}(G) \leq (1+o(1))\Delta/\log\Delta$  for any bipartite graph G with  $\Delta(G) \leq \Delta$ , as  $\Delta \to \infty$ .

In stark contrast to Theorem 6, our Theorem 7 is best possible up to a factor two, due to an elegant probabilistic lower bound for  $\chi_c(G)$  by Bernshteyn [6]. We conjecture that Theorem 7 also holds for triangle-free graphs, thus generalizing Johanssons result. However, despite recent relatively short proofs of the latter, none of them seem to be easily adaptable to list-packing. For instance, these proofs often rely on a 'finishing blow', where a random partial list-colouring can be completed greedily by drawing colours from suitably structured smaller lists. In the list-packing setting this does not seem enough, as we require access to the full lists to construct a partition. Already a bound strictly smaller than  $\Delta$  would be welcome progress.

Even for bipartite graphs, our proof of Theorem 7 is rather involved. We draw on the 'coupon collector intuition', which we know can be combined with the Lovász local lemma to obtain the analogous bound on  $\chi_c(G)$  (see [3]). Unfortunately, this approach does not immediately work for Theorem 7 as the requisite negative correlation property becomes false in the packing setting; however, we managed to circumvent this obstacle via a suitable result on transversals in a large sum of independent uniformly random permutation matrices, using significant further probability estimates.

## 2 Concluding remarks

We have set the stage for a natural fusion between two classic notions in (extremal) graph theory: packing and colouring. Our programme would see significant progress if one could prove the following list-packing conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.** There exists C > 0 such that  $\chi_{\ell}^{\star}(G) \leq C \cdot \chi_{\ell}(G)$  for any graph G.

It could even be the case that, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is some  $\chi_0$  such that  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G) \leq (1 + \epsilon) \cdot \chi_{\ell}(G)$ for all G with  $\chi_{\ell}(G) \geq \chi_0$ . A resolution of Conjecture 1, either affirmatively or negatively, would be very interesting. Together with the result proved by Alon [2] that for some constant C > 0,  $\chi_{\ell}(G) \geq C \log d$  for all graphs G that are d-degenerate but not (d - 1)-degenerate, note that Theorem 2 implies that  $\chi_{\ell}^*(G)$  is bounded by an exponential function of  $\chi_{\ell}(G)$ , which serves as modest support for Conjecture 1. Regardless of its status in general, Conjecture 1 may be specialised to various fundamental graph classes to push for further progress, e.g.:

- Planar graphs. We do not yet have any constructions to rule out the possibility that  $\chi_{\ell}^{\star}(G) \leq 5$  for all planar G. Theorem 2 implies that it is at most 10. What is the optimal value?
- Line graphs. Based on the List Colouring Conjecture, we surmise for every  $\epsilon > 0$  that  $\chi_{\ell}^{\star}(G) \leq (1+\epsilon)\omega$  for every line graph G with clique number  $\omega \geq \omega_0$ . Due to its connection to Latin squares, here even the case where G is the line graph of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{\omega,\omega}$  is enticing to narrow in on.
- Random graphs. Does it hold that  $\chi_{\ell}^{\star}(G_{n,1/2}) \leq (1+o(1))n/(2\log_2 n)$  a.a.s.?

Finally, we reiterate the open problems of determining the right bound for  $\chi_{\ell}^{\star}(G)$  for *d*-degenerate graphs *G* (see Theorem 2), and extending Theorem 7 from bipartite to triangle-free graphs.

## References

- N. Alon. Choice numbers of graphs: a probabilistic approach. Combin. Probab. Comput., 1(2):107–114, 1992.
- [2] N. Alon. Restricted colorings of graphs. In Surveys in combinatorics, 1993 (Keele), volume 187 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 1–33. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [3] N. Alon, S. Cambie, and R. J. Kang. Asymmetric list sizes in bipartite graphs. Annals of Combinatorics, 25(4):913–933, 2021.
- [4] N. Alon, M. R. Fellows, and D. R. Hare. Vertex transversals that dominate. J. Graph Theory, 21(1):21– 31, 1996.
- [5] N. Alon and M. Krivelevich. The choice number of random bipartite graphs. Ann. Comb., 2(4):291–297, 1998.
- [6] A. Bernshteyn. The asymptotic behavior of the correspondence chromatic number. Discrete Math., 339(11):2680–2692, 2016.
- [7] S. Cambie, W. Cames van Batenburg, E. Davies, and R. J. Kang. Packing list-colourings. Oct. 2021, arXiv:2110.05230.
- [8] P. Erdős, A. L. Rubin, and H. Taylor. Choosability in graphs. In Proceedings of the West Coast Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1979), Congress. Numer., XXVI, pages 125–157. Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man., 1980.
- [9] A. Johansson. Asymptotic choice number for triangle-free graphs. Technical Report 91-5, DIMACS, 1996.
- [10] M. Molloy. The list chromatic number of graphs with small clique number. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 134:264–284, Jan. 2019.
- [11] R. Yuster. On factors of independent transversals in k-partite graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 28(4):Paper 4.23, 2021.